Meeting Agenda Bois de Sioux and Mustinka Watersheds 1W1P <u>Steering</u> Committee Meeting 05/14/2020 at 9:00 am by conference call and screenshare

Member Organizations	Committee Representative	Designated Alternate
Big Stone County	Danny Tuckett [Absent]	Darren Wilke [Absent]
Big Stone SWCD	Joseph Otto	
Grant County	Greg Lillemon	
Grant SWCD	Jared House	
West Otter Tail SWCD	Brad Mergens	Ben Underhill
Otter Tail County	Kyle Westergard	
Stevens County	Bill Kleindl [Absent]	
Stevens SWCD	Matt Solemsaas	
Traverse County	Lynn Siegel [Absent]	Bruce Johnson [Absent]
Traverse SWCD	Sara Gronfeld	Bruce Johnson [Absent]
Wilkin County	Breanna Koval [Absent]	
Wilkin SWCD	Craig Lingen	Don Bajumpaa [Absent]
Bois de Sioux Watershed	Jamie Beyer	Linda Vavra
CC:		
BWSR	Pete Waller	
BWSR	Henry Van Offelen	
HEI	Jeremiah Jazdziewski [Absent]	
HEI	Rachel Olm [Absent]	
Grant County	Reed Peterson	
Moore Engineering	Chad Engels	
Moore Engineering	Tara Ostendorf	

Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 9:00 am.

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss:

- 1. Do the current plan's Scenario 2 funded actions and CIP's address each planning region's high and medium priorities, or do we need revisions? If revisions are needed, please recommend changes to the distribution of funding (by %) for the actions table. The Projects and Practices table will add up to 100%.
- 2. Thinking in terms of 100% of Scenario 2 funding, what should the percentage split be between Projects and Practices and CIP per planning region?

Discuss and Finalize Planning Region Funding Scenario Budgets: The committee looked at the high/medium priorities for each individual planning region, and possible actions (relating directly, indirectly, or neither).

Overview of Changes

5/14 Action #1: Implement filtration practices (e.g. filter strips, grass waterways, etc) to control erosion and sediment runoff on-field. SWCD staff requested a baseline percentage in each planning region, for funds to be made available to compensate for staff to administer CRP and other grassland programs. The Committee agreed these funds may be needed in conjunction with streambank restoration projects.

5/14 Action #2: Implement storage practices (e.g. WASCOBS and drainage water management) to reduce erosion and increase water storage capacity. Committee agreed that these funds could be paired with multipurpose drainage management projects.

5/14 Action #7: Implement protection practices (e.g. grade stabilization, streambank protection, and side water inlets) to reduce ditch/stream scouring and reduce edge-of-field and in-channel sediment loss. Committee agreed that these funds could be utilized by multipurpose drainage management projects (side water inlets) and streambank restoration projects.

CIP: Under Scenario 2, the CIP projects were narrowed to simply stream restorations, as different aspects of the CWF-eligible actions of the MDM projects can be funded by Actions #2 and #7, and several of the CIP projects will be funded internally or through non-CWF sources, leaving:

Lake Traverse & BdS River	\$1,750,000
Twelve Mile Creek Headwaters	\$441,000
Lower Mustinka and Twelve MC	\$529,200

Project	Planning Region	l cie		4202	2004	1222	iu.	an.	inter-	inter-	Q.D.
Lake Traverse Water Quality Imp. Project #1	Lako Traverse & BdS River	\$325,000	\$500,000	\$175,000							
Doran Creek Rehabilitation	Lake Traverse & HdS River			\$375,000	\$375,000						
Twelvemile Creek Rebilitation	Lover Mustinka and Twelve MC							\$132,300	\$132,300	\$132,300	\$132,300
Fivemile Creek Reblitation	Touton Alle Creak Harstolders				\$220,500	1229,300					
		5411.000	\$751,500	\$844,580	\$933.000	\$593,000	\$164,000	\$296,300	\$296,300	\$296,300	\$296.300

Beyer will email the Committee for its consideration possible CIP percentages for these three planning regions.

Funding Proportion per Planning Region: Committee members considered land area, a potential scoring system based on high/medium priorities, sediment contribution, and phosphorous contribution. Committee members agreed to use an average of the land area, sediment contribution, and phosphorous contribution, to provide a weighted percentage.

	LAKE TRAVERSE	RABBIT	LOWER MUSTINKA	UPPER MUSTINKA	12-MILE CREEK	TOTAL
	\$748,432	\$1,115,730	\$987,408	\$578,010	\$1,398,910	\$4,828,490
Currently Using Land Area	16%	23%	20%	12%	29%	100%
	\$869,128	\$869,128	\$869,128	\$1,062,268	\$1,158,838	\$4,828,490
High/Medium Scoring	18%	18%	18%	22%	24%	100%
	\$675,989	\$869,128	\$724,274	\$1,110,553	\$1,448,547	\$4,828,490
Sediment Contribution	14%	18%	15%	23%	30%	100%
	\$772,558	\$1,158,838	\$1,013,983	\$531,134	\$1,351,977	\$4,828,490
Phosphorous Contribution	16%	24%	21%	11%	28%	100%
	\$772,558	\$965,698	\$869,128	\$901,318	\$1,319,787	\$4,828,490
Weighted Percentage	16%	20%	18%	19%	27%	100%

to add up to 100% in each planning region, based soley on area). appears below (to compare equally with the approach on 5/14, she pulled the CIP dollars out, and considered the remaining Project and Practices dollars Our starting 04/27/20 Projects and Practices spreadsheet was in dollars, but after the 05/14/20 meeting, Beyer converted it to percentages and it

plans retention, and reduce input needs. Example may include residue management (e.g. side water inlets) to reduce ditch/stream scouring and reduce edge-of-field and in- Develop infrastructure (e.g. ring dikes) to provide 100-year flood protection for 12. Establish field windbreaks, farm shelterbelts, and living snow fences shorelines 11. Install fencing to restrict livestock access to identified unstable riparian areas and Seal abandoned wells urban and commercial parcels and pollutants, and increase wildlife habitat. RIM/conservation easements to increase water storage, provide filtration of sediment improve ditch system stability. wildlife habitat, lakeshore owners agriculture, Whole-Farm Management plans, and nutrient and manure management conservation-, no-, or strip-till management), crop rotations, cover crops, precision projects drainage management actions and streambank restoration capital improvement channel sediment loss. Potentially use these actions in combination with multipurpose combonation with multipurpose drainage management actions. Implement storage practices (e.g. WASCOBS and drainage water management) to reduce erosion and increase water storage capacity. Potentially use these actions in erosion and sediment runoff on-field. Staff time for CRP and grass programs Action Implement soil management practices to improve soil structure, increase water ural homesteads Implement mutipurpose drainage management practices (DITCH RETROFITS) to improve residue management and soil structure Implement urban stormwater practices (e.g., rain gardens, rain barrels, etc.) on Implement shoreline BMPs to reduce shoreline erosion and improve recreational and Implement filtration practices (e.g. filter strips, grass waterways, etc) to control Implement voluntary land restoration to grassland or wetland and private Implement a rental program for tillage equipment and/or hire custom tillage services Implement protection practices (e.g. grade stabilization, streambank protection, and ----2 Groundwater Quality 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 -Sediment -N N **Unstable Channels** ---Ν N N Public Flooding N -N _ N Private Flooding --1 2 2 1 2 Altered Hydrology <u> - -</u> -Stormwater Mgmt Ditch System Instability -N -Ν Ditch System Inadeque -N Soil Health 2 -2 Bacteria -_ _ ---N Ν Nutrient Loading LAKE 85.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.7% 0.3% 9.3% 0.0% 3.2% 0.2% 0.3% 0.3% 0.3% 0.2% RABBIT 63.9% 13.0% 20.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.4% 0.0% 0.4% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0% 1.2% LOWER 78.5% 15.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.6% 0.4% 0.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 5.0% **NUSTINK** UPPER 55.4% 25.6% 13.4% 0.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.4% 2.8% 0.5% 0.0% 0.9% 0.2% 12-MILE CREE 87.3% 11.3% 0.1% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.1% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.5% **TOTAL %** 75.9% 14.6% 0.1% 0.2% 0.2% 0.0% 0.5% 0.6% 0.0% 7.1% 0.5% only in Funding 0.0% Not eligible for WBIF 0.2% Not eligible for WBIF Not eligible for WBIF Level 3 Per SC disc, use NOTES

5

Capital Improvement - Stream Restorations

'Goal Impact Key: 1 = indirect; 2 = direct / accomplishes goal

TOTAL

00.0%

100.0%

00.0%

00.0%

00.0%

00%

22

2

1

0.5%

0.9%

0.4%

1.7%

0.3%

04/27/20 PROJECTS AND PRACTICES and CIP by percentage

	_	_	ନ୍ଦ	Goal Impact	Ξ	a	4												
Action Groundwater Quality	Groundwater Quality Sediment	Unstable Channels Public Flooding	Private Flooding	Altered Hydrology	Stormwater Mgmt	Ditch System Instability	Ditch System Inadequcy		Soil Health	Bacteria	Nutrient Loading	ΪŢ	LAKE TRAVERSE RABBIT	ABBIT	LOWER	UPPER	12-MILE CREEK	TOTAL %	NOTES
 Implement filtration practices (e.g. filter strips, grass waterways, etc) to control erosion and sediment runoff on-field. Staff time for CRP and grass programs 	2										Ν		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	13.7%	
 Implement storage practices (e.g. WASCOBS and drainage water management) to reduce erosion and increase water storage capacity. Potentially use these actions in combonation with multipurpose drainage management actions. 		N	2 2	N									15.0%	20.0%	20.0%	29.0%	22.0%	21.4%	
3. Implement protection practices (e.g. grade stabilization, streambank protection, and side water inlets) to reduce ditch/stream scouring and reduce edge-of-field and in- channel sediment loss. Potentially use these actions in combination with multipurpose drainage management actions and streambank restoration capital improvement projects.	N	2									N		20.5%	20.0%	28.0%	20.0%	22.0%	22.1%	
4. Implement soil management practices to improve soil structure, increase water retention, and reduce input needs. Example may include residue management (e.g. conservation-, no-, or strip-till management), crop rotations, cover crops, precision agriculture, Whole-Farm Management plans, and nutrient and manure management plans.	-			-					N		-		20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	
Implement a rental program for tillage equipment and/or hire custom tillage services to improve residue management and soil structure.	1								2									0.0%	0.0% Not eligible for WBIF
Implement shoreline BMPs to reduce shoreline erosion and improve recreational and wildlife habitat, lakeshore owners	-	2	-			-							10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6.2%	
Implement mutipurpose drainage management practices (DITCH RETROFITS) to improve ditch system stability.	2	2	2 2	N		2	2	2			-		20.0%	26.5%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	
 Implement voluntary land restoration to grassland or wetland and private RIM/conservation easements to increase water storage, provide filtration of sediment and pollutants, and increase wildlife habitat. 	<u> </u>		-	N		-			<u>→</u>		<u>→</u>							0.0%	Per SC disc, use only in Funding Level 3
Implement urban stormwater practices (e.g., rain gardens, rain barrels, etc.) on urban and commercial parcels.	1	-	-	-										2.5%		5.0%	5.0%	2.8%	
10. Seal abandoned wells. 2	2												2.0%	1.0%	2.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	
 Install fencing to restrict livestock access to identified unstable riparian areas and shorelines. 		-								2	-		2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	
12. Establish field windbreaks, farm shetterbetts, and living snow fences.	1								-		-							0.0%	Not eligible for WBIF
 Develop infrastructure (e.g. ring dikes) to provide 100-year flood protection for rural homesteads. 	-	N	2															0.0%	0.0% Not eligible for WBIF
		_	-1 }				-1 -1		ㅋㅋ	H	TOTAL		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Canital Improvement - Stream Restorations	N	Ν	H	N	H	H	H	\vdash	\square				<i>ii</i>	0.0%	17	0.0%	??		

05/14/20 PROJECTS AND PRACTICES by percentage